# And More Algorithm Analysis



Computer Science Department University of Central Florida

COP 3502 – Computer Science I



### Announcements

#### Cheating:

- ProGurl2, SpudMonkey, Vette9890, and others
- Watch out!
- Program Grading Concerns/Questions
  - Go to TA first and then a second time
  - Email me only after this happens
  - Exam 1 on Friday, 10/1/2010
    - One 8-1/2"x11" paper with WHATEVER you want written on it
      - And yes, this includes the front and back

#### G

## And More Algorithm Analysis

- Examples of Analyzing Code:
  - Last time we went over examples of analyzing code
    - We did this in a somewhat naïve manner
      - Just analyzed the code and tried to "trace" what was going on
  - Today:
    - We will do this in a more structured fashion
    - We mentioned that summations are a tool for you to help coming up with a running time of iterative algorithms
    - Today we will look at some of those same code fragments, as well as others, and show you how to use summations to find the Big-O running time



#### Example 1:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - We have two for loops
  - They are NOT nested
    - The first runs from k = 1 up to (and including) n/2
    - The second runs from j = 1 up to (and including) n<sup>2</sup>



#### Example 1:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - Here's how we can express the number of operations in the form of a summation:



The constant value, 1, inside each summation refers to the one, and only, operation in each for loop.

Now you simply solve the summation!



#### Example 1:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - Here's how we can express the number of operations in the form of a summation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n/2} 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^2} 1$$
You use the formula: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} k = k * n$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n/2} 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^2} 1 = \frac{n}{2} + n^2$$

- This is a <u>CLOSED FORM</u> solution of the summation
- So we approximate the running time as O(n<sup>2</sup>)



#### Example 2:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - Here we again have two for loops
  - But this time they are nested





#### Example 2:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - Here we again have two for loops
  - But this time they are nested
    - The outer loop runs from i = 1 up to (and including) n
    - The inner loop runs from j = 1 up to (and including) n
  - The sole (only) operation is a "x++" within the inner loop



#### Example 2:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - We express the number of operations in the form of a summation and then we solve that summation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1$$
You use the formula: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} k = k * n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n = n^{2}$$
All we did is apply the above formula twice.

- This is a **CLOSED FORM** solution of the summation
- So we approximate the running time as O(n<sup>2</sup>)

l = l



#### Example 3:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - Here we again have two for loops
  - And they are nested. So is this O(n<sup>2</sup>)?





#### Example 3:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - Here we again have two for loops
  - And they are nested. So is this O(n<sup>2</sup>)?
    - The outer loop runs from i = 0 up to (and not including) n
    - The inner loop runs from j = 0 up to (and not including)  $n^2$
  - The sole (only) operation is a "sum++" within the inner loop



#### Example 3:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
  - We express the number of operations in the form of a summation and then we solve that summation:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^2-1} 1$$
You use the formula: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} k = k * n$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n^2-1} 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n^2 = n^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 = n^3$$
All we did is apply the above formula twice.

- This is a <u>CLOSED FORM</u> solution of the summation
- So we approximate the running time as O(n<sup>3</sup>)



# WASN'T THAT **THE COOLEST!**

And More Algorithm Analysis

## Daily Demotivator



## CURIOSITY

SOME PLACES REMAIN UNKNOWN BECAUSE NO ONE HAS VENTURED FORTH. OTHERS REMAIN SO BECAUSE NO ONE HAS EVER COME BACK.

And More Algorithm Analysis

# And More Algorithm Analysis



Computer Science Department University of Central Florida

COP 3502 – Computer Science I