

# AVL Trees: Insertion



Computer Science Department  
University of Central Florida

*COP 3502 – Computer Science I*



# Motivation for AVL Trees

- Recall the basics of Binary Search Trees
  - The goal of a BST is to provide  $O(\log n)$  lookup, insertion, deletion, etc.
  - However, this goal is only accomplished on a “complete” binary tree
    - a tree where all levels are filled with the possible exception of the last level, which is filled from left to right
    - Given a complete BST, the height of the tree is approximately  $\log n$ , where  $n$  is the number of nodes
  - Remember:
    - If a BST is not complete, the height is NOT necessarily  $\log n$



# Motivation for AVL Trees


---

- Recall the basics of Binary Search Trees
  - The height of a BST depends on the order of insertion
  - Example:
    - Inserting values 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 into an initially empty BST results in what?
    - Each new values ends up going to the “right” of the previous value
    - So we end up with a completely right-skewed tree
    - This “tree” has degenerated into a linked list with respect to the running time of operations




# Motivation for AVL Trees

- Recall the basics of Binary Search Trees




(a) An unbalanced BST



(b) A balanced BST



# Motivation for AVL Trees



- This “tree” is just a linked list in binary tree clothing.
- It takes 2 tests to locate 12, 3 to locate 14, and 8 to locate 52.
- Hence, the search effort for this binary tree is  $O(n)$ .



# Motivation for AVL Trees

---

- **Balanced BST**
  - We want to maintain balance in our BSTs
  - Is there a way, regardless of the insertion order of elements, to maintain this balance?
    - To guarantee a height of  $\log(n)$ ?
  - Basically, can we keep this balance?
  - Short answer: yes!
  - AVL Trees:
    - G.M. Adelson-Velskii and E.M. Landis
    - Published their algorithm in 1962 in a paper entitled "An algorithm for the organization of information."



# AVL Trees

---

## ■ AVL Tree


### ■ Definition:

- An AVL tree is a BST in which the heights of the subtrees, of any given node, differ by no more than 1
  - For EVERY node in a BST, you must check the height of the left and right subtree of that node
  - If the height of those subtrees differ by no more than 1, then that BST is an AVL tree
- Thus, an AVL tree is a balanced BST



# AVL Trees

## ■ AVL Tree



(b) An AVL tree

- This BST is an AVL tree.
- It takes 2 tests to locate 18, 3 to locate 12, and 4 to locate 8.
- Hence, the search effort for this binary tree is  $O(\log_2 n)$ .





# AVL Trees

---


- AVL Tree
  - For a tree with 1000 nodes, the worst case for a completely unbalanced tree is 1000 tests.
    - Again, degenerating to a linked list
  - However, the worst case for a balanced tree is 10 tests.
    - HUUUUUGE difference
  - Hence, balancing a tree can lead to significant improvements.



# AVL Trees

## ■ AVL Trees: Formal Definition

- 1) All empty trees are also, by definition, AVL trees
- 2) If  $T$  is a non-empty BST with  $T_L$  and  $T_R$  as its left and right subtrees, respectively, then  $T$  is an AVL tree if and only if:
  - 1)  $T_L$  and  $T_R$  are also AVL trees
  - 2)  $|h_L - h_R| \leq 1$ 
    - where  $h_L$  and  $h_R$  are the heights of  $T_L$  and  $T_R$ , respectively






# AVL Trees

## ■ AVL Tree

- AVL trees are height-balanced BSTs
- All nodes in an AVL tree have a Balance Factor (BF)
- Balance factor of a node = height of the left subtree minus the height of the right subtree
  - $BF = hL - hR$
  - or  $BF = hR - hL$
- An AVL tree can have only balance factors of -1, 0, or 1 at every node
- For every node in a BST, the height of the left and right subtrees can differ by no more than 1

An AVL Tree




**Red numbers  
are Balance Factors**




# AVL Trees


## AVL Trees: Examples




An AVL Tree




An AVL Tree




An AVL Tree



Non-AVL Tree



Non-AVL Tree



Non-AVL Tree

Red numbers are Balance Factors




# AVL Trees

## ■ Skewed AVL Trees

- Notice that the definition of an AVL tree does NOT require that all leaf nodes be on the same level or even adjacent levels


- As such, it is possible to construct AVL trees that are quite skewed as shown below:





# AVL Trees

- AVL Trees: Implementation
  - To implement an AVL tree, simply associated a BF with each node, “x”



```
struct AVLTreeNode{
    int data;
    int BF;
    struct AVLTreeNode *left;
    struct AVLTreeNode *right;
};
```

- $x \rightarrow bf = h_L - h_R$
- Again, in an AVL-tree, BF can be one of  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$



# AVL Trees


## ■ AVL Trees: Good News & Bad News

### ■ Good News

- Search is  $O(\log n) = O(\text{height})$


### ■ Bad News

- Insert and delete may cause the tree to be unbalanced



An AVL Tree

Insert 3 →



No longer an AVL Tree



# AVL Trees

---

- Insertion into an AVL Tree
  - Insertion into an AVL tree is just like inserting into a standard BST
    - You simply do a search, going left or right at every step, in the tree until you find the correct leaf node
    - You then insert in either the left or right child of that node
  - Once the new node is inserted, the balance **MUST** be checked and restored if the tree has become unbalanced
    - It often turns out that the new node can be inserted without affecting the height of the subtree
      - If this happens, then the balance of the root will not change





# AVL Trees

---

- Insertion into an AVL Tree
  - Once the new node is inserted, the balance **MUST** be checked and restored if the tree has become unbalanced
    - Even if the insertion caused one of the subtrees to increase in height, it may be that the shorter of the subtrees changed in height.
      - So only the balance factor of the root will change
  - The only case that causes difficulty:
    - Inserting a new node into a subtree of the root, which is taller than the other subtree, and the height of the taller subtree increases
    - So one subtree will have a height 2 more than the other



# AVL Trees

---

- Insertion into an AVL Tree
  - Thus, an AVL tree can become unbalanced due to an insertion in one of four ways:
    - (two of which are symmetric to the others)
      - 1) Inserting a new node into the right subtree of a right child
      - 2) Inserting a new node into the left subtree of a left child
        - This is the symmetric case
      - 3) Inserting a new node into the left subtree of a right child
      - 4) Inserting a new node into the right subtree of a left child
        - This is the symmetric case
    - The first two cases are easier to handle (as they require only one rotation), so we will go over them first



# AVL Trees

## ■ Restoring Balance in an AVL Tree

### ■ Problem

- Inserting a new node may cause the BF of some node, on the path from the root to the insertion point, to become 2 or -2


### ■ Solution:

- First insert the node following typical rules of a BST
- Then, from that insertion point, **BACK UP towards the root**, updating the BFs of all nodes along the path to root
- If a node ends up with a BF of 2 or -2, you must adjust the tree by rotating around deepest such node



# AVL Trees


## Restoring Balance in an AVL Tree





# AVL Trees

## ■ Four Cases of Imbalance: LL Imbalance



Tree Before Insertion

Tree After Insertion

Red values are balance factors




Node around which rotation will be performed



# AVL Trees

## ■ Four Cases of Imbalance: RR Imbalance



Tree before Insertion

Tree after Insertion

Red values are balance factors




Node around which rotation will be performed



# AVL Trees

## ■ Four Cases of Imbalance: LR Imbalance



Red values are balance factors




Node around which rotation will be performed



# AVL Trees

## ■ Four Cases of Imbalance: RL Imbalance



Tree before Insertion

Tree after Insertion

Red values are balance factors



Node around which rotation will be performed





# AVL Trees


---

- AVL Balance Factor:
  - An **LH** tree is a tree in which the left subtree has a height greater than the right subtree.
  - An **RH** tree is a tree in which the right subtree has a height greater than the left subtree.
  - An **EH** tree is a tree in which the left and right subtrees have the same height.




# AVL Trees

## ■ AVL Balance Factor:



(a) Tree 23 appears balanced:  $H_L - H_R = 1$



(b) Subtree 18 appears balanced:  
 $H_L - H_R = 1$

(c) Subtree 44 is balanced:  
 $|H_L - H_R| = 1$



# AVL Trees

---

- **Balancing AVL Trees:**
  - Whenever we insert a node into a tree or delete a node from a tree, the resulting tree may become unbalanced.
  - When we detect that a tree has become unbalanced, we must rebalance it.
  - AVL trees are balanced by rotating nodes either to the left or to the right.



# AVL Trees


---

- Balancing AVL Trees:
  - We consider four cases that require rebalancing (previously shown):
    - Left of left
    - Right of right
    - Right of left
    - Left of right
  - Note that the first “Left” or “Right” refers to a subtree
  - the second “Left” or “Right” refers to the whole tree
    - this will make sense in a minute



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Left




- In this case, a tree that is left high (2<sup>nd</sup> left) has a subtree that has become left high (1<sup>st</sup> left).
- Here we see that the tree is left high to start
  - look at 18
- After inserting 4, node 12 goes from EH to LH.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Right




- In this case, a tree that is right high (2<sup>nd</sup> right) has a subtree that has become right high (1<sup>st</sup> right).
- Here we see that the tree is right high to start
  - look at 14
- After inserting 44, node 20 goes from EH to RH.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Left




(c) Case 3: right of left

- In this case a tree that is left high has a subtree that has become right high.
- Here we see that the tree is left high to start
  - look at 18
- After inserting 13, node 12 goes from EH to RH.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Right



(d) Case 4: left of right


- In this case a tree that is right high has a subtree that has become left high.
- Here we see that the tree is right high to start
  - look at 14
- After inserting 19, node 20 goes from EH to LH.





# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Left




- When the out-of-balance condition has been created by a left-high subtree of a left-high tree,
- we must balance the tree by rotating the out-of-balance node to the right.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Left




(a) Simple right rotation

- After inserting 12, node 20 becomes unbalanced (LH).
- We must then rotate the unbalanced node, 20, to the right.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Left




- After inserting 4, node 18 becomes unbalanced (LH).
- Hence, we need to rotate 18 to the right.
- This makes 18 the right subtree of the new root, 12.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Left




- This creates a problem, though.
- What do we do with the current right subtree of 12 (i.e., 14)?



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Left




- In the process of being rotated to the right, node 18 lost its left subtree.
- Hence, we can use the left subtree of 18 to attach 14 to.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Right




- When the out-of-balance condition has been created by a right-high subtree of a right-high tree,
- we must balance the tree by rotating the out-of-balance node to the left.
- This is simply the “mirror” of the left-of-left case.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Right




- After inserting 20, node 12 becomes unbalanced (RH).
- We must then rotate the unbalanced node, 12, to the left.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Right



(b) Complex left rotation


- After inserting 44, node 14 becomes unbalanced (RH).
- Hence, we need to rotate the unbalanced node, 14, to the left.
- This makes 14 the left subtree of the new root, 20.





# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Right




(b) Complex left rotation

- This creates a problem, though.
- What do we do with the current left subtree of 20 (i.e., 18)?



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Right



(b) Complex left rotation

- In the process of being rotated to the left, node 14 lost its right subtree.
- Hence, we can use the right subtree of 14 to attach 18 to.



# Brief Interlude: FAIL Picture





# AVL Trees


---

- Balancing AVL Trees:
- **Right of Left & Left of Right**
  - The first two cases only required single rotations to balance the trees.
  - We now study two out-of-balance conditions in which we need to rotate two nodes, one to the left and one to the right, to balance the tree.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Left




(c) Case 3: right of left

- When the out-of-balance condition has been created by a right-high subtree of a left-high tree,
- we must balance the tree by **performing TWO rotations**



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Left




- To balance the tree, we first rotate the left subtree, 4, of the out-of-balance node, 12, to the left.
- This will create a left-of-left situation.
- We then rotate the the unbalanced node to the right to balance the tree.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Left




(b) Complex double rotation right

- This is a slightly more complex problem.
- After inserting 16, node 18 becomes unbalanced.
- Hence, we need to rotate the left subtree, 12, of the unbalanced node, 18, to the left.
  - shown at (b2)



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Right of Left



(b) Complex double rotation right


- This will create a left-of-left situation.
  - (b2)
- We then rotate the the out-of-balance node, 18, to the right to balance the tree.





# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Right




(d) Case 4: left of right

- When the out-of-balance condition has been created by a right-high subtree of a left-high tree,
- we must balance the tree by **performing TWO rotations**



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Right




(a) Simple double rotation right

- To balance the tree we first rotate the right subtree, 44, of the out of balance node, 12, to the right.
- This will create a right-of-right situation.
- We then rotate the the unbalanced node to the left to balance the tree.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Right




(b) Complex double rotation right

- This is a slightly more complex problem.
- After inserting 20, node 18 becomes unbalanced.
- Hence, we need to rotate the right subtree, 44, of the unbalanced node, 18, to the right.



# AVL Trees

## ■ Balancing AVL Trees: Left of Right



(b) Complex double rotation right

- This will create a right-of-right situation.
- We then rotate the the out-of-balance node, 18, to the left to balance the tree.



# AVL Trees

---

- Insertion into AVL Trees (Summary)
  - We insert following standard rules of a BST
  - Then we trace back up to the root of the tree
  - As we back out of the tree, constantly check the balance factor of each node
  - When a node is out of balance, we balance it and continue backing up out of the tree
  - Note:
    - Not all inserts will produce an out of balance tree



# AVL Trees

- Summary of AVL Trees:
  - Arguments for using AVL trees:
    - 1) Search/insertion/deletion is  **$O(\log N)$**  since AVL trees are **always balanced**.
    - 2) The height balancing adds no more than a constant factor to the speed of insertion.
  - Arguments against using AVL trees:
    - 1) Requires extra space for balancing factor
    - 2) It may be OK to have a partially balanced tree that would give performance similar to AVL trees without requiring the balancing factor
      - Splay trees (something we won't be covering in CS1)




# AVL Trees: Insertion

---

**WASN'T  
THAT  
TITILLATING!**



# Daily Demotivator





# AVL Trees: Insertion



Computer Science Department  
University of Central Florida

*COP 3502 – Computer Science I*