



1. [Concepts] This is a question about free and bound variable identifiers. Consider the following Haskell expression.

```
(g (\g -> (\a -> (\b -> (length (g b))))))
```

- (a) (5 points) Write, in set brackets (`{` and `}`), the entire set of variable identifiers that occur free in the above Haskell expression.

- (b) (5 points) Write, in set brackets (`{` and `}`), the entire set of variable identifiers that occur bound in the above Haskell expression.

2. (10 points) [UseModels] Using `foldr`, write the function

```
sumSquares :: (Num t) => [t] -> t
```

that takes a list of numbers `ns` and returns the sum of the squares of the elements in `ns`. The following are examples, written using the `Testing` module from the homework.

```
tests :: [TestCase Integer]
tests =
  [eqTest (sumSquares []) "==" 0
  ,eqTest (sumSquares [10]) "==" 100
  ,eqTest (sumSquares [5,10]) "==" 125
  ,eqTest (sumSquares [1 .. 10]) "==" 385
  ,eqTest (sumSquares [1 .. 1000]) "==" 333833500
  ,eqTest (sumSquares [-55 .. 55]) "==" 113960
  ,eqTest (sumSquares [99 .. 999]) "==" 332514951
  ,eqTest (sumSquares ([-55 .. 55] ++ [99 .. 999])) "==" 332628911 ]
```

Your solution must use `foldr` in an essential way, so write it by filling in the remainder of the following. You must not use explicit recursion or a list comprehension in your solution. Your solution is also *not* allowed to use `map` or `sum`.

```
sumSquares ns = foldr
```

3. (10 points) [UseModels] Using `foldr`, write the function:

```
frfilter :: (t -> Bool) -> [t] -> [t]
```

that for any type `t`, takes a predicate, `p`, of type `(t -> Bool)` and a list of elements of type `t`, `lst`, and returns a list of elements of type `t` that is just like `lst`, except that it only contains the elements that satisfy `p`. (Thus elements that do not satisfy `p` are not in the result.)

The following are examples, written using the `Testing` module from the homework.

```
tests_int :: [TestCase [Integer]]
tests_int =
  [eqTest (frfilter (> 0) []) "==" []
  ,eqTest (frfilter (> 0) [-1]) "==" []
  ,eqTest (frfilter (> 0) [5,-1]) "==" [5]
  ,eqTest (frfilter (> 2) [99,5,-1,86]) "==" [99,5,86]
  ,eqTest (frfilter (>= 6) [99,5,-1,86]) "==" [99,86]
  ,eqTest (frfilter (<= 6) [1 .. 10000000]) "==" [1 .. 6]
  ,eqTest (frfilter (\n -> (n-5) < -7 || (n+5) > 7) [-55 .. 55])
    "==" ([-55 .. -3] ++ [3 .. 55]) ]
tests_char :: [TestCase [Char]]
tests_char =
  [eqTest (frfilter (== 'a') []) "==" []
  ,eqTest (frfilter (> 'm') "xylophone") "==" "xyopon"
  ,eqTest (frfilter (< 'm') "florida") "==" "flida"
  ,eqTest (frfilter (\c -> c == 'f' || c == 'l') "florida") "==" "fl" ]
```

Your solution must use `foldr` in an essential way, so write it by filling in the remainder of the following. You must not use explicit recursion or a list comprehension in your solution. Your solution is also not allowed to use `filter`.

```
frfilter p lst = foldr
```

4. (10 points) [UseModels] Consider the following Haskell type definitions

```
type Address = [String] -- 6 elements always
type NewAddress = [String] -- 5 elements always
```

Your task is to write a function

```
joinNames :: [Address] -> [NewAddress]
```

that takes a list of type Address, adrd, and returns a list of type NewAddress that is the same as adrd, except that the first two elements of each Address are to be concatenated, with a blank in between them, in the result. The following are examples, written using the Testing module from the homework.

```
tests :: [TestCase [NewAddress]]
tests =
  [eqTest (joinNames []) "==" []
  ,eqTest (joinNames [["Frank", "Wright", "6000 Left", "Chicago", "IL", "USA"]])
    "==" [["Frank Wright", "6000 Left", "Chicago", "IL", "USA"]]
  ,eqTest (joinNames [["Christopher", "Wren", "2000 Hyde", "London", "England", "UK"]
    ,["Frank", "Wright", "6000 Left", "Chicago", "IL", "USA"]])
    "==" [["Christopher Wren", "2000 Hyde", "London", "England", "UK"]
    ,["Frank Wright", "6000 Left", "Chicago", "IL", "USA"]]
  ,eqTest (joinNames [["Caroline", "Herschel", "700000" "Starry Way", "Hannover", "Germany"]
    ,["Marie", "Curie", "1 rue Pierre et Marie Curie", "6me", "Paris", "France"]
    ,["Agusta Ada", "King", "Marylebone", "London", "England", "UK"]])
    "==" [["Caroline Herschel", "700000" "Starry Way", "Hannover", "Germany"]
    ,["Marie Curie", "1, rue Pierre et Marie Curie", "6me", "Paris", "France"]
    ,["Agusta Ada King", "Marylebone", "London", "England", "UK"]] ]
```

5. (10 points) [UseModels] Consider the following data type definition.

```
data NWayTree t = Node t [NWayTree t] deriving (Eq, Show)
```

Write the function

```
preOrderNWay :: (NWayTree t) -> [t]
```

which takes an NWayTree, nwt, and returns a list of all the elements in nwt ordered so that the value (of type t) in a node precedes all the values in the subtrees contained in the list in that node, and so that values in a subtree that appears in an earlier list in a node precede all those that appear later in that list. The following are examples.

```
tests :: [TestCase [Int]]
```

```
tests =
```

```
  [eqTest (preOrderNWay (Node 3 [])) "==" [3]
  ,eqTest (preOrderNWay (Node 3 [(Node 7 []), (Node 9 []), (Node 6 [])])) "==" [3,7,9,6]
  ,eqTest (preOrderNWay (Node 3 [(Node 7 [(Node 8 [])]), (Node 9 []),
                                  (Node 6 [(Node 5 []), (Node 6 [])]))))
    "==" [3,7,8,9,6,5,6]
  ,eqTest (preOrderNWay (Node 10 [(Node 1 [(Node 2 [(Node 3 [(Node 4 [])]))]),
                                   (Node 5 [(Node 6 [])]),
                                   (Node 7 [(Node 8 [(Node 9 [(Node 11 [])]))]),
                                   (Node 12 [(Node 13 [])]))])
    "==" [10,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13] ]
```

6. (10 points) This problem also uses type `NWayTree`, defined as:

```
data NWayTree t = Node t [NWayTree t] deriving (Eq, Show)
```

Write the function

```
scaleNWay :: (Num t) => t -> (NWayTree t) -> (NWayTree t)
```

that, for any numeric type `t`, takes a value `x` of type `t` and an `(NWayTree t)`, `nwt`, and returns an `(NWayTree t)` that is just like `nwt` except that each number in the result is `x` times the corresponding number in `nwt`. The following are examples.

```
tests :: [TestCase (NWayTree Int)]
tests =
  [eqTest (scaleNWay 5 (Node 3 [])) "==" (Node 15 [])
  ,eqTest (scaleNWay 5 (Node 3 [])) "==" (Node 15 [])
  ,eqTest (scaleNWay 10 (Node 3 [(Node 7 []), (Node 9 []), (Node 6 [])]))
    "==" (Node 30 [(Node 70 []), (Node 90 []), (Node 60 [])])
  ,eqTest (scaleNWay 0 (Node 3 [(Node 7 []), (Node 9 []), (Node 6 [])]))
    "==" (Node 0 [(Node 0 []), (Node 0 []), (Node 0 [])])
  ,eqTest (scaleNWay 4 (Node 3 [(Node 7 [(Node 8 [])]), (Node 9 [])
    ,(Node 6 [(Node 5 []), (Node 6 [])])))
    "==" (Node 12 [(Node 28 [(Node 32 [])]), (Node 36 [])
    ,(Node 24 [(Node 20 []), (Node 24 [])]))
  ,eqTest (scaleNWay 10 (Node 10 [(Node 1 [(Node 2 [(Node 3 [(Node 4 [])]])])
    ,(Node 5 [(Node 6 [])]),
    ,(Node 7 [(Node 8 [(Node 9 [(Node 11 [])]])])
    ,(Node 12 [(Node 13 [])]))])
    "==" (Node 100 [(Node 20 [(Node 30 [(Node 40 [])]])
    ,(Node 50 [(Node 60 [])]),
    ,(Node 70 [(Node 80 [(Node 90 [(Node 110 [])]])])
    ,(Node 120 [(Node 130 [])]])
    ]
```

7. (10 points) [Concepts] [UseModels] Suppose we want to generalize the previous two problems involving the type `NWayTree`, which is defined as follows.

```
data NWayTree t = Node t [NWayTree t] deriving (Eq, Show)
```

That is, suppose we want to have a function:

```
foldNWayTree :: (t -> [r] -> r) -> (NWayTree t) -> r
```

This function should be such that, for any type `t` and desired result type `r`, this function should take a function `f` (of type `(t -> [r] -> r)`) and an `(NWayTree t)`, and return a value of type `r`. The function `f` should be applied to each node's value and to a list of the answers returned by recursing on all subtrees of that node. The following are test cases.

```
tests :: [TestCase Bool]
tests =
  [assertTrue ((foldNWayTree (\n res -> Node (n+1) res) (Node 3 [Node 7 []]))
              == (Node 4 [Node 8 []]))
  ,assertTrue ((foldNWayTree (\v res -> v:(concat res)) sampleNT)
              == [10,3,1,2,5,4,6])
  ,assertTrue ((foldNWayTree (\v res -> (reverse (v:(concat res)))) sampleNT)
              == [5,6,4,3,1,2,10])
  ,assertTrue ((foldNWayTree (\n res -> n + (sum res)) sampleNT) == 31)
  ]
where sampleNT = (Node 10 [(Node 3 [(Node 1 []), (Node 2 [])])
                          ,(Node 5 [(Node 4 [(Node 6 [])])])])
```

Your task in this problem is to choose which one of the following is a declaration that correctly implements `foldNWayTree`. The correct implementation should have the type and behavior described above and satisfy the test cases given above. (So don't ask us why some choice has a type error or is incorrect during the test — it's because it is the wrong answer!) Circle the letter of the correct choice.

- A. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = (foldr f v (map (foldNWayTree f) trees))`
- B. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = (map f trees)`
- C. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = foldNWayTree f v (f v trees)`
- D. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = f v (map (foldNWayTree f) trees)`
- E. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = (f v trees)`
- F. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = (Node v (map f trees))`
- G. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = v ++ (concatMap f trees)`
- H. `foldNWayTree f (Node v trees) = v:(concatMap f trees)`
- I. None of the above purported solutions are correct.

8. (30 points) [UseModels] [Concepts] In this problem you will implement an abstract data type `Drawing`. Abstractly, a `Drawing` is a mapping from values on the unit interval (real numbers between 0 and 1, inclusive) to points. Think of the unit interval as representing time, with 0 the beginning of the drawing, and 1 the end; thus as time progresses from 0 to 1, the drawing is traced on a canvas, which is modeled by the unit square (points whose  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates are between 0 and 1, inclusive). The resolution (detail) of the drawing is unlimited, since the unit interval can be sampled as finely as the resolution desired demands. The types `UnitInterval` and `Point` are used in Haskell for the concepts of the unit interval and the points in the drawing.

```
type UnitInterval = Float -- 0.0 to 1.0 inclusive
type Point = (Float, Float)
```

In this problem you will do the following:

1. Decide on a representation for the type `Drawing` and, use it in an implementation of the following 3 functions.
2. The function

```
makeDrawing :: (UnitInterval -> Point) -> Drawing
```

takes a function  $f$  that maps the unit interval to Points, and returns a `Drawing` such that  $(\text{get } (\text{makeDrawing } f) v)$  has the value of  $f$  applied to  $v$ , for all  $v$  in the unit interval.

3. The function

```
get :: Drawing -> UnitInterval -> Point
```

takes a `Drawing` and a value in the unit interval and returns the point that the `Drawing` maps that value to.

4. The function

```
flipH :: Drawing -> Drawing
```

takes a `Drawing`,  $d$ , and returns its reflection through the vertical line  $y = 0.5$ . That is if for a value  $v$  in the unit interval, if  $(\text{get } d v)$  is  $(x, y)$ , then  $(\text{get } (\text{flipH } d) v)$  is  $(1 - x, y)$ .

There are test cases in the following.

```
-- withinPt tests if two points are approximately equal
withinPt :: Point -> String -> Point -> TestCase Point
withinPt = gTest (\(ax,ay) (ex,ey) -> (ax ~== ex && ay ~== ey))
tests :: [TestCase Point]
tests =
  let -- functions for use in testing, not for you to implement!
    linearup x = (x,x) -- picture looks like: /
    half x = (x,0.5) -- picture looks like: --
    lineardown x = (x,1.0-x) -- picture looks like: \
    h x = if x <= 0.3 then (0.3,1-(10/3)*x) -- pic like: H
         else if 0.3 < x && x < 0.6 then (x, 0.5)
         else (0.6, (10/4)*(x-0.6))
  in [withinPt (get (makeDrawing linearup) 0.0) "~==" (0.0,0.0)
    ,withinPt (get (makeDrawing linearup) 0.5) "~==" (0.5,0.5)
    ,withinPt (get (makeDrawing linearup) 1.0) "~==" (1.0,1.0)
    ,withinPt (get (flipH (makeDrawing linearup)) 0.1) "~==" (0.9,0.1)
    ,withinPt (get (flipH (makeDrawing linearup)) 0.4) "~==" (0.6,0.4)
    ,withinPt (get (flipH (makeDrawing linearup)) 1.0) "~==" (0.0,1.0)
    ,withinPt (get (makeDrawing lineardown) 0.0) "~==" (0.0,1.0)
    ,withinPt (get (makeDrawing lineardown) 0.5) "~==" (0.5,0.5)
    ,withinPt (get (makeDrawing lineardown) 1.0) "~==" (1.0,0.0)
    ,withinPt (get (flipH (makeDrawing lineardown)) 0.0) "~==" (1.0,1.0)
    ,withinPt (get (flipH (makeDrawing lineardown)) 0.4) "~==" (0.6,0.6)
```



```

,withinPt (get (flipH (makeDrawing lineardown)) 1.0) "~=" (0.0,0.0)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing half) 0.0) "~=" (0.0,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing half) 0.5) "~=" (0.5,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing half) 1.0) "~=" (1.0,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.0) "~=" (0.3,1.0)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.15) "~=" (0.3,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.3) "~=" (0.3,0.0)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.35) "~=" (0.35,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.55) "~=" (0.55,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.6) "~=" (0.6,0.0)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 0.8) "~=" (0.6,0.5)
,withinPt (get (makeDrawing h) 1.0) "~=" (0.6,1.0)    ]

```

Complete the implementation of the module Drawing below.

```

module Drawing (UnitInterval, Point, Drawing, makeDrawing, get, flipH) where
type UnitInterval = Float -- 0.0 to 1.0 inclusive
type Point = (Float, Float)

```

-- complete the following data definition:

```

data Drawing =

```

-- implement these 3 functions below

```

makeDrawing :: (UnitInterval -> Point) -> Drawing

```

```

get :: Drawing -> UnitInterval -> Point

```

```

flipH :: Drawing -> Drawing

```