

The first three problems ask for sets of free or bound variable identifiers that occur bound in the statement above. Write the entire requested set in brackets. For example, write $\{V, W\}$, or if the requested set is empty, write $\{\}$.

1. Consider the following Oz statement in the kernel language.

```

local I in
  local J in
    I = 4020
    {DoIt I J}
    Q = J
  end
end

```

- (a) (4 points) [Concepts] Write the entire set of the variable identifiers that occur free in the statement above.
- (b) (4 points) [Concepts] Write the entire set of the variable identifiers that occur bound in the statement above.

2. Consider the following Oz statement in the kernel language.

```

fun {Add X Y} X+Y end
Compose = proc {$ F G X R}
  local Temp in
    {G X Temp}
    {F Temp R}
  end
end
Add1 = proc {$ Y Result}
  local One in
    local Two in
      One = 1
      {Add Y One Result}
    end
  end
end
local Ret in
  local Three in
    Three = 3
    {Compose Add1 Id Three Ret}
  end
end

```

- (a) (6 points) [Concepts] Write the entire set of the variable identifiers that occur free in the statement above.
- (b) (9 points) [Concepts] Write the entire set of the variable identifiers that occur bound in the statement above.

3. [Concepts]

- (a) (3 points) Name a programming language that uses static type checking.
- (b) (2 points) Name a programming language that uses dynamic type checking.

4. [Concepts] Consider the following Java method declaration.

```
public void find(int[] arr, int sought) {  
    for (int j = 0; j < len(arr); j++) {  
        if (f(arr[j]) == sought) { res = j; }  
    }  
}
```

- (a) (3 points) Write below, in set brackets, the entire set of variable identifiers that occur free in the Java code above.

- (b) (3 points) Write below, in set brackets, the entire set of variable identifiers that occur bound in the Java code above.

5. [Concepts] Consider the following Oz code.

```

local G in
  local Last in
    Last = proc {$ Ls Prev ?R}
      case Ls of
        H|T then
          %% Parts (c) and (e) ask about the call below (line 7)
          {Last T H R}
        else R = Prev
      end
    end
    G = Last
  end
  local Temp in
    local MyList in
      MyList = a|b|c|nil
      %% Parts (b), (d), and (e) ask about the call below (line 17)
      {G MyList a Temp}
      {Browse Temp}
    end
  end
end

```

- (a) (2 points) When the above code runs, what output, if any, appears in the browser?
- (b) (4 points) At the point of the call of F on line 18 (just below the second comment), is there a binding for G in the current environment? Give a brief explanation.
- (c) (4 points) Will the call to Last on line 7 work properly and make a successful call? If so, briefly explain why, if not, then say what happens.
- (d) (3 points) Is the call on line 18 in the declarative kernel language? If not, briefly explain why it is not.
- (e) (3 points) Suppose Oz used dynamic scoping. In that case, would the calls on lines 18 and 7 both be successful? If so, briefly explain why, if not, then say what would happen.

6. (15 points) [Concepts] Desugar the following Oz code into kernel syntax by expanding all syntactic sugars. (Assume that the identifier `Result`, and the function identifiers `SumTo` and `SumIter` are declared elsewhere.)

```
fun {Product Ls} {ProdIter Ls 1} end  
Result = {Product [3 4]}
```

7. (10 points) [Concepts] What happens when the following code executes in Oz? Briefly explain why that happens.

```
local SetIt It in  
  It = good  
  SetIt = proc {$ X}  
    It = X  
  end  
  {SetIt bad}  
  {Browse 'It is '#It}  
end
```

8. (10 points) [Concepts] What is the output, if any, of the following code in Oz? Briefly explain why that output appears.

```
local MyShoe Y in
  MyShoe = nike(model: mavrk num: 6.0 topcolor: black cost: 62.99)
  Y = 3
  case MyShoe of
    addidas(model: M num: N topcolor: C cost: Y) then {Browse first#M#N#C#Y}
  [] nike(model: M num: N topcolor: C cost: Y) then {Browse second#M#N#C#Y}
  [] nike(model: M num: N topcolor: C cost: Y) then {Browse third#M#N#C#Y}
  [] nike(model: M) then {Browse fourth#M}
  else {Browse none(Y)}
  end
end
```

9. [Concepts] Both Java and C# recently expanded by adding enhanced **for** loops. These are defined by telling programmers that use of such an enhanced **for** loop expands into an iterator call, a **while** statement, the given loop body, and another call to the iterator. The expanded version of a **for** loop is thus a statement that would be legal in older versions of the language.

(a) (5 points) What is the term for this concept?

(b) (10 points) Briefly describe one advantage of extending a language in this way.